

Alpha – Weakly Continuous Mappings In Topological Spaces

Dr. Raja Mohammad Latif

Assistant Professor

Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Prince Mohammad Bin Fahd University

P.O. Box 1664 Al Khobar 31952

Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Abstract—In the literature various kinds of mappings between topological spaces have been defined. We introduce the notion of a new class of mappings called alpha-weakly continuous mappings and investigate its several properties and characterizations. Its connection with other existing concepts such as alpha-continuous and weakly alpha-continuous mappings are also investigated.

Simulation results shows that the mobility oriented trust system provides better detection efficiency, good malicious node detection, low false positive and delay constraint.

AMS Subject Classification: 54B, 54C, 54D

Key Words and Phrases: Topological Space, α -open set, α -closed set, α -weakly continuous, α -connected space

I. INTRODUCTION

The notion of α -open set (originally called α -sets) in topological space was introduced by Njastad [1965]. Since then, it has been widely investigated in the literature. Throughout this paper, (X, τ) (simply X) always mean topological space. A subset A of (X, τ) is called α -open [Njastad; 1965] if $A \subseteq \text{Int}[Cl(\text{Int}(A))]$. The complement of an α -open set is called an α -closed set. The intersection of all α -closed sets containing A is called the α -closure of A , denoted by $Cl_\alpha(A)$. A subset A is α -closed if and only if $A = Cl_\alpha(A)$. A point $x \in X$ is said to be an α -interior point of A if there exists an α -open set U containing x such that $U \subseteq A$. The set of all α -interior points of A is said to be α -interior of A [Mashhour & El-Deeb; 1983] and denoted by $\text{Int}_\alpha(A)$. We denote the family of all α -open sets of (X, τ) by τ^α . It is shown in [Njastad; 1965]

(see also Ohba & Umehara; 2000) that each of $\tau \subseteq \tau^\alpha$ and τ^α is a topology on X .

II. ALPHA – WEAKLY CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

Definition 1. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be α -weakly continuous if for each $x \in X$ and each open sets V containing $f(x)$, there exists an α -open set U containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq Cl_\alpha(V)$.

Definition 2. [Mashhour & El-Deeb; 1983]. A

mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be

α -continuous if $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau^\alpha$ for every $V \in \sigma$, and, equivalently, if for each $x \in X$ and each open sets V of Y containing $f(x)$, there exists $U \in \tau^\alpha$ with $x \in U$ such that $f(U) \subseteq V$.

We remark that every α -continuous mapping is

α -weakly continuous, but the converse is not true as the following example shows.

Example 3. Let X and Y be both the set of real numbers. Let τ be the usual topology for X and σ the cocountable topology for Y . Then the identity mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is α -weakly continuous and not α -continuous. Firstly we show that f is not α -continuous. Let $\sqrt{2} \in I =$ the set of irrational numbers. Then $I \in \sigma$ as $R - I$ is countable. Let $U \subseteq I$ such that $\sqrt{2} \in U$. Then $\text{Int}[Cl(\text{Int}(U))] = \emptyset$. Hence $U \notin \tau^\alpha$. Thus f is not α -continuous.

Now we show that f is α -weakly continuous. Let $x \in V$ and $V \in \sigma$. Then $R - V$ is countable. We show that

$Cl_\alpha(V) = Y$. Let $y \in W \in \sigma^\alpha$. If W is countable, then $Int(W) = \phi$. Hence $Int[Cl(Int(W))] = \phi$. This shows that $W \notin \sigma^\alpha$. Hence W is uncountable. Since $Y - V$ is countable. Hence $W \cap V \neq \phi$. It shows that $Cl_\alpha(V) = Y$. Now by definition it follows that f is α -weakly continuous.

Definition 4. [Noiri; 1987]. A function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be weakly α -continuous if for each $x \in X$ and each open set V of Y containing $f(x)$, there exists $U \in \tau^\alpha$ containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq Cl(V)$.

Theorem 5. Every α -weakly continuous function is weakly α -continuous.

Proof. We know that $\sigma \subseteq \sigma^\alpha$. Hence $Cl_\alpha(V) \subseteq Cl(V)$. The theorem follows.

Theorem 6. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is α -weakly continuous if and only if for every open set V in Y , $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq Int_\alpha[f^{-1}(Cl_\alpha(V))]$.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and V an open set containing $f(x)$. Then $x \in f^{-1}(V) \subseteq Int_\alpha[f^{-1}(Cl_\alpha(V))]$. Put $U = Int_\alpha[f^{-1}(Cl_\alpha(V))]$. Then U is α -open and $f(U) \subseteq Cl_\alpha(V)$. Conversely, let V be an open set of Y and $x \in f^{-1}(V)$. Then there exists an open set U in X such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq Cl_\alpha(V)$. Therefore, we have $x \in U \subseteq f^{-1}[Cl_\alpha(V)]$ and hence $x \in Int_\alpha[f^{-1}(Cl_\alpha(V))]$. This proves that $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq Int_\alpha[f^{-1}(Cl_\alpha(V))]$.

Theorem 7. Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be α -weakly continuous. If V is a clopen (both closed and open) subset of Y such that $f(x) \in V$, then $f^{-1}(V)$ is clopen in (X, τ^α) .

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and V be a clopen subset of (Y, σ) such that $f(x) \in V$. Then there exists $U \in \tau^\alpha$ containing

x such that $f(U) \subseteq Cl_\alpha(V)$. Since $\sigma \subseteq \sigma^\alpha$ implies every clopen subset of (Y, σ) is also a clopen subset of (Y, σ^α) . Hence $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq V$ and so $x \in U \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$. This shows that $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau^\alpha$. Since $Y - V$ is a clopen in (Y, σ) , so $f^{-1}(Y - V) \in \tau^\alpha$. But $f^{-1}(Y - V) = X - f^{-1}(V)$. Therefore $f^{-1}(V)$ is closed in (X, τ^α) . Hence $f^{-1}(V)$ is an α -clopen (both α -closed and α -open) set in (X, τ^α) .

Theorem 8. The following are equivalent for a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$.

- (1) f is α -weakly continuous.
- (2) $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq Int_\alpha[f^{-1}(Cl_\alpha(V))]$ for every open subset V of (Y, σ) .
- (3) $Cl_\alpha[f^{-1}(Int_\alpha(V))] \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$ for every closed subset V of (Y, σ) .

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2): Follows from Theorem 6.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let V be a closed subset of (Y, σ) . Then $Y - V$ is an open set in (Y, σ) . So by hypothesis $f^{-1}(Y - V) \subseteq Int_\alpha[f^{-1}(Cl_\alpha(Y - V))]$
 $= Int_\alpha[f^{-1}(Y - Int_\alpha(V))]$
 $= X - Cl_\alpha[f^{-1}(Int_\alpha(V))]$.

Thus $Cl_\alpha[f^{-1}(Int_\alpha(V))] \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Let $x \in X$ and let $f(x) \in V \in \sigma$. So $Y - V$ is a closed set in (Y, σ) . So by hypothesis $Cl_\alpha[f^{-1}(Int_\alpha(Y - V))] \subseteq f^{-1}(Y - V)$. Thus $x \notin Cl_\alpha[f^{-1}(Int_\alpha(Y - V))]$. Hence there exists $U \in \tau^\alpha$ such that $x \in U$ and $U \cap f^{-1}(Int_\alpha(Y - V)) = \phi$ which implies that $f(U) \cap Int_\alpha(Y - V) = \phi \Rightarrow f(U) \subseteq Y - Int_\alpha(Y - V) \Rightarrow f(U) \subseteq Cl_\alpha(V)$. This shows that f is α -weakly continuous..

Theorem 9. Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ and $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \mu)$ be any mappings and let $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \mu)$ be the composition.

(1). If $g : (X, \tau^\alpha) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma^\alpha)$ is an open surjection and $g \circ f$ is α -weakly continuous, then g is α -weakly continuous.

(2). If $g : (X, \tau^\alpha) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma^\alpha)$ is continuous and g is α -weakly continuous, then $g \circ f$ is α -weakly continuous.

Proof. (1) Let $y \in Y$. Since f is a surjection, there exists $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = y$. Let $V \in \mu$ contain $(g \circ f)(x)$. Since $g \circ f$ is α -weakly continuous, there exists, $U \in \tau^\alpha$ containing x such that $(g \circ f)(U) \subseteq Cl_\alpha(V)$. By hypothesis, it follows that $W = f(U) \in \sigma^\alpha$ and contains $f(x) = y$. Thus $g(W) \subseteq Cl_\alpha(V)$. Hence g is α -weakly continuous.

(2) Let $x \in X$ and $W \in \mu$ such that $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x)) \in W$. Let $y = f(x)$. Since g is α -weakly continuous. So there exists $V \in \sigma^\alpha$ such that $g(y) \in V$ and $g(V) \subseteq Cl_\alpha(W)$. Let $U = g^{-1}(V)$. Then U is open in (X, τ^α) as f is α -continuous. Now $(g \circ f)(U) = g(f(f^{-1}(V))) \subseteq g(V)$. Then $x \in U \in \tau^\alpha$ and $(g \circ f)(U) \subseteq Cl_\alpha(W)$. Hence $g \circ f$ is α -weakly continuous

Theorem 10. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping and $g : X \rightarrow X \times Y$ be the graph mapping of f , given by $g(x) = (x, f(x))$ for every point $x \in X$. Then f is α -weakly continuous if and only if g is α -weakly continuous.

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that f is α -weakly continuous. Let $x \in X$ and $g(x) \in W \in \tau \times \sigma$. There exist $U_1 \in \tau$ and $V \in \sigma$ such that $(x, f(x)) \in U_1 \times V \subseteq W$. Since f is α -weakly continuous, there exists $U_2 \in \tau^\alpha$ containing x such that

$f(U_2) \subseteq Cl_\alpha(V)$. Put $U = U_1 \cap U_2$, then we have $x \in U \in \tau^\alpha$ and $g(U) \subseteq Cl_\alpha(W)$. This indicates that g is α -weakly continuous.

Sufficiency. Suppose that g is α -weakly continuous. Let $x \in X$ and V be any open set containing $f(x)$. Then $X \times V$ is an open set in $X \times Y$ containing $g(x)$. Since g is α -weakly continuous, there exists an α -open set U in X containing x such that $g(U) \subseteq Cl_\alpha(X \times V)$. It follows from Lemma 4 of [Noiri;1978], that $Cl_\alpha(X \times V) \subseteq X \times Cl_\alpha(V)$. Since g is the graph mapping of f , we have $f(U) \subseteq Cl_\alpha(V)$. This shows that f is α -weakly continuous.

Let $\{(x_\lambda, \tau_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ and $\{(y_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be any two families of spaces with the same index set Λ . Let $f_\lambda : (X_\lambda, \tau_\lambda) \rightarrow (Y_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda)$ be a function for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Let $f : (\prod X_\lambda, \prod \tau_\lambda) \rightarrow (\prod Y_\lambda, \prod \sigma_\lambda)$ denote the product function defined by $f(x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda) = (f(x_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda)$ for every $(x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda) \in \prod X_\lambda$. Moreover, let $p_\mu : \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda \rightarrow X_\mu$ and $q_\mu : \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Y_\lambda \rightarrow Y_\mu$ be the natural projections. Then, we have the following result.

Theorem 11. The product function $f : (\prod X_\lambda, \prod \tau_\lambda) \rightarrow (\prod Y_\lambda, \prod \sigma_\lambda)$ is α -weakly continuous if and only if $f_\lambda : (X_\lambda, \tau_\lambda) \rightarrow (Y_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda)$ is α -weakly continuous for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that f is α -weakly continuous. Let μ be an arbitrary fixed index of Λ . Since q_μ is continuous, by Theorem 9 $q_\mu \circ f = f_\mu \circ p_\mu$ is α -weakly continuous. Moreover, p_μ is an open continuous surjection and hence by Theorem 9 f_μ is α -weakly continuous.

Sufficiency. Suppose that f_λ is α -weakly continuous for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Let $x = (x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda) \in \prod X_\lambda$ and $f(x) \in W \in \prod \sigma_\lambda$. There exists a basic open set $\prod V_\lambda$

such that $f(x) \in \prod V_\lambda \subseteq W$ and $\prod V_\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^n V_{\lambda_i} \times \prod_{\lambda \neq \lambda_i} Y_{\lambda_i}$,

where $V_\lambda \in \sigma_\lambda$ for each $\lambda = \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$. Since f_λ is α -weakly continuous, for each $\lambda = \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ there exists $U_\lambda \in \tau_\lambda^\alpha$ containing x_λ such that $f(U_\lambda) \subseteq Cl_\alpha(V_\lambda)$. Now, let us put

$U = \prod_{i=1}^n U_{\lambda_i} \times \prod_{\lambda \neq \lambda_i} X_{\lambda_i}$, then we have $x \in U \in (\prod \tau_\lambda)^\alpha$ and

$f(U) \subseteq Cl_\alpha(W)$. This indicates that f is α -weakly continuous.

Definition 12. A function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be weakly continuous if for each $x \in X$ and each $V \in \sigma$ containing $f(x)$, there exists $U \in \tau$ containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq Cl(V)$.

Every weakly continuous function is α -weakly continuous but the converse is not true by the following example.

Example 13. Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\phi, \{c\}, X\}$ and $\sigma = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, Y\}$. Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be the identity function. Then f is α -weakly continuous but it is not weakly continuous.

Lemma 14. A function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is α -weakly continuous if and only if $f : (X, \tau^\alpha) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is weakly continuous.

Theorem 15. A function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\prod Y_\lambda, \prod \sigma_\lambda)$ is α -weakly continuous if and only if $q_\lambda \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda)$ is α -weakly continuous for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 14 and the fact that a function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\prod Y_\lambda, \prod \sigma_\lambda)$ is weakly continuous if and only if $q_\lambda \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda)$ is continuous for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Theorem 16. If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is α -weakly continuous and (Y, σ) is regular, then f is continuous.

Proof. Let x be any point of X and V any open set of (Y, σ) containing $f(x)$. Since (Y, σ) is regular, there exists $W \in \sigma$ such that $f(x) \in W \subseteq Cl(W) \subseteq V$. Since

f is α -weakly continuous, there exists $U \in \tau^\alpha$ containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq Cl_\alpha(W) \subseteq Cl(W) \subseteq V$.

Therefore, f is α -continuous by Theorem 1 in [Mashhour et al; 1983] and hence it is continuous by the remark of [Mashhour et al; 1983].

Theorem 17. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an α -weakly continuous mapping and Y is Hausdorff, then the graph $G(f)$ is an α -closed set of $X \times Y$.

Proof. Let $(x, y) \notin G(f)$. Then, we have $y \neq f(x)$.

Since Y is Hausdorff, there exist disjoint open sets W and V such that $f(x) \in W$ and $y \in V$. Since f is α -weakly continuous, there exists an α -open set U containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq Cl_\alpha(W)$. Since W and V are disjoint, we have $V \cap Cl_\alpha(W) = \phi$ and hence $V \cap f(U) = \phi$. This shows that $(U \times V) \cap G(f) = \phi$. It follows that $G(f)$ is α -closed.

Definition 18. By an α -weakly continuous retraction, we mean an α -weakly continuous mapping $f : X \rightarrow A$, where $A \subseteq X$ and $f_A = f|_A$ is the identity mapping on A .

Theorem 19. Let $A \subseteq X$ and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an α -weakly continuous retraction of X onto A . If X is a Hausdorff space, then A is an α -closed set in X .

Proof. Suppose that A is not an α -closed set in X . Then there exists a point $x \in Cl_\alpha(A) - A$. Since f is α -weakly continuous retraction, we have $f(x) \neq x$. Since X is Hausdorff, there exist disjoint open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $f(x) \in V$. Thus we get $U \cap Cl_\alpha(V) = \phi$. Now, let W be any α -open set in X containing x . Then $U \cap W$ is an α -open set containing x and hence $(U \cap W) \cap A \neq \phi$ because $x \in Cl_\alpha(A)$. Let $y \in (U \cap W) \cap A$. Since $y \in A$, $f(y) = y \in U$ and hence $f(y) \notin Cl_\alpha(V)$. This gives that $f(W) \not\subseteq Cl_\alpha(V)$. This contradicts that f is α -weakly continuous. Hence A is α -closed in X .

Theorem 20. Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be α -weakly continuous and A a subset of X such that if either $A \in PO(X, \tau)$ or $A \in SO(X, \tau)$. Then the restriction $f_A : (A, \tau_A) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is α -weakly continuous.

Proof. Since either $A \in PO(X, \tau)$ or $A \in SO(X, \tau)$. It follows from lemma 1.1 of [Mashhour et al;1983] and [Reilly & vamanamurthy;1984]

that $\tau^\alpha/A \subseteq (\tau/A)^\alpha$. Since f is α -weakly continuous, for each $x \in A$ and each $V \in \sigma$ containing $f(x)$, there exists $U \in \tau^\alpha$ containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq Cl_\alpha(V)$. Put $U_A = U \cap A$, then we have $x \in U_A \in (\tau/A)^\alpha$ and $(f/A)(U_A) \subseteq Cl_\alpha(V)$. This indicates that f/A is α -weakly continuous.

III. ALPHA – CONNECTED SPACES

Definition 21. A space X is said to be α -connected if X can not be written as the disjoint union of two non-empty α -open sets.

Every α -connected space is connected but the converse may not be true.

It is shown in Theorem 4 of [Long et al;1973]

(resp. Theorem 3 of [Noiri;1974]) that connectedness is invariant under almost continuous (resp. weakly continuous) surjections. It is also known that S -connectedness is invariant under semi-continuous surjections. In [Noiri & Ahmad;1985] it is proved that the semi-weakly continuous image of an S -connected space is connected. In [Latif;1995] we prove that S -connectedness is invariant semi-weakly semi-continuous mapping. However, we have the following.

Theorem 22. If X is an α -connected space and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is α -weakly connected surjection, then Y is connected.

Proof. Suppose that Y is not connected. Then there exist two non-empty open sets V_1 and V_2 of Y such that $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ and $V_1 \cup V_2 = Y$. Hence, we have $f^{-1}(V_1) \cap f^{-1}(V_2) = \emptyset$, $f^{-1}(V_1) \cup f^{-1}(V_2) = X$ and $f^{-1}(V_1) \neq \emptyset \neq f^{-1}(V_2)$ because f is surjection. By Theorem 8, we have $f^{-1}(V_i) \subseteq Int_\alpha[f^{-1}(Cl_\alpha(V_i))]$, for $i=1,2$. Since each V_i is clopen and hence also α -clopen. We obtain $f^{-1}(V_i) \subseteq Int_\alpha[f^{-1}(V_i)]$ and hence $f^{-1}(V_i)$ is α -open for $i=1,2$. This implies that X is not α -connected. Therefore Y is connected.

Theorem 23. If X is an α -connected space and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is α -continuous mapping with the closed graph, then f is constant.

Proof. Suppose that f is not constant. Then there exist two distinct points x_1, x_2 in X such that $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$. Since the graph $G(f)$ is closed and $(x_1, f(x_2)) \notin G(f)$, there exist open sets U and V containing x_1 and $f(x_2)$, respectively, such that $f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$. Since f is α -continuous, U and $f^{-1}(V)$ are non-empty disjoint α -open sets. It follows that X is not α -connected. Therefore f is constant.

Corollary 24. [Thom pson;1981].

Let X be α -connected. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous mapping with the closed graph, then f is constant.

Proof. Since every continuous mapping is α -continuous, this is an immediate consequence of Theorem 23.

Definition 25. [Maheshwari & Thakur;1980]. A function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an α -irresolute mapping if and only if the inverse image of every α -open set in Y is an α -open set in X .

Theorem 26. If X is an α -connected space and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an α -irresolute mapping with the α -closed graph, then f is constant.

Proof. Suppose that f is not constant. Then there exist two distinct points x_1, x_2 in X such that $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$. Since the graph $G(f)$ is α -closed and

$(x_1, f(x_2)) \notin G(f)$, there exist α -open sets U and V containing x_1 and $f(x_2)$, respectively, such that $f(U) \cap V = \phi$. Since f is α -irresolute, U and $f^{-1}(V)$ are non-empty disjoint α -open sets. It follows that X is not α -connected. Therefore f is constant.

IV. HAUSDORFF AND URYSOHN SPACES

Definition 27. A space X is called a Urysohn space if for every pair of distinct points x and y in X , there exist open sets U and V in X such that $x \in U, y \in V$ and $Cl(U) \cap Cl(V) = \phi$.

Theorem 28. Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an α -weakly continuous injection and (Y, σ^α) be a Urysohn space. Then (X, τ^α) is a T_2 -space.

Proof. For any distinct points $x_1, x_2 \in X$, we have $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$ because f is injection. Since Y is Urysohn, there exist open sets V_1 and V_2 in Y such that $f(x_1) \in V_1, f(x_2) \in V_2$ and $Cl(V_1) \cap Cl(V_2) = \phi$. We know that $\tau \subseteq \tau^\alpha$ which implies that $Cl_\alpha(V_i) \subseteq Cl(V_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. It follows that $Cl_\alpha(V_1) \cap Cl_\alpha(V_2) = \phi$. Hence we have $Int_\alpha[f^{-1}(Cl_\alpha(V_1))] \cap Int_\alpha[f^{-1}(Cl_\alpha(V_2))] = \phi$. Since f is α -weakly continuous, so by Theorem 8, we have $x_j \in f^{-1}(V_j) \subseteq Int_\alpha[f^{-1}(Cl_\alpha(V_j))]$ for $j = 1, 2$. This implies that (X, τ^α) is T_2 .

Theorem 29. Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an α -weakly continuous injection mapping and (Y, σ) be a T_2 -space. Then the graph $G(f)$ is an α -closed set of $X \times Y$.

Proof. Let $(x, y) \notin G(f)$. Then, we have $y \neq f(x)$. Since (Y, σ) is T_2 , there exist disjoint open sets S and T such that $f(x) \in S$ and $y \in T$. Since f is α -weakly continuous, there exists an α -open set R containing x

such that $f(R) \subseteq Cl_\alpha(S)$. Since S and T are disjoint, we have $T \cap Cl_\alpha(S) = \phi$ and hence $T \cap f(R) = \phi$. This shows that $(R \times T) \cap G(f) = \phi$. It follows that $G(f)$ is α -closed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is highly and greatly indebted to the Prince Mohammad Bin Fahd University for providing all necessary excellent research facilities during the preparation of this research paper.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Raja M. Latif, "On characterizations of mappings," Soochow Journal of Mathematics, 19(4), 1993, pp. 475 – 495.
- [2]. Raja M. Latif, "On semi-weakly semi-continuous mappings," Punjab University Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 28, 1995, pp. 22 – 29.
- [3]. Raja M. Latif, "Characterizations of mappings in γ -open sets," Soochow Journal of Mathematics, 33(2), 2007, pp. 187 – 202.
- [4]. P. E. Long, and D.A. Carnahan, "Comparing almost continuous functions," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 38, 1973, pp. 413 – 418.
- [5]. S. N. Maheshwari, and S. S. Thakur, "On α -irresolute mappings," Tamkang J. Math. 11(2), 1980, pp. 209 – 214.
- [6]. A. S. Mashhour, I. A. Hasanein and S. N. El-Deeb, " α -continuous and α -open mappings," Acta Math. Hungar, 41(3–4), 1983, pp. 213 – 218.
- [7]. O. Njastad, "On some classes of nearly open sets," Pacific J. Math., Vol. 15, 1965, pp. 961 – 970.
- [8]. T. Noiri, "On weakly continuous mappings," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 46, 1974, pp. 120 – 124.
- [9]. T. Noiri, "A characterization of almost-regular spaces," Glasnik Matematički, 13(2), 1978, pp. 335 – 338.
- [10]. T. Noiri, and B. Ahmad, "On semi-weakly continuous mappings," Kyungpook Math. J., 25(2), 1985, pp. 191 – 194.
- [11]. T. Noiri, "Weakly α -continuous functions," Int. J. Math, Math, Sci. 10(3), 1987, pp. 483 – 490.
- [12]. T. Ohba and J. Umehara, "A simple proof of τ^α being a topology," Mem. Fac. Sci. K *Kôchi* Univ. Ser. A Math. Vol. 21, 2000, pp. 87 – 88.
- [13]. I. L. Reilly and M. K. Vamanamurthy, "Connectedness and strong semi-continuity," Casopis Pest. Mat. Vol. 109, 1984, pp. 261 – 265.
- [14]. I. L. Reilly and M. K. Vamanamurthy, "On α -continuity in topological spaces," Acta Math. Hung., 45(1 – 2), 1985, pp. 27–32.
- [15]. T. Thompson, "Characterizations of irreducible spaces," Kyungpook Math. J., Vol. 21, 1981, pp. 191 – 194.

Author Profile



RajaLatifreceived a Ph.D. degree in Mathematics from the University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada, in 1989. His research interest includes General Topology.